

# LANDSLIDE HAZARD MAPPING USING THE SCORE AND WEIGHT METHOD IN BANJARNEGARA

*By* Bita Parga Zen

## LANDSLIDE HAZARD MAPPING USING THE SCORE AND WEIGHT METHOD IN BANJARNEGARA

Muhammad Lulu Latif Usman<sup>1</sup>, Bitu Parga Zen<sup>2</sup>, Zulfina Wiria Ananda<sup>3</sup>, Siti Roqayah<sup>4</sup>, Lintang Cahya Mulyadani<sup>5</sup>, Bunga Laelatul Muna<sup>6</sup>, Aprilia Intan Prasetya<sup>7</sup>

1,2,3,4,5,6,7 Informatika, Teknik Informatika, Institut Teknologi Telkom Purwokerto, Purwokerto, Indonesia  
Email: <sup>1</sup>[lulu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:lulu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id), <sup>2</sup>[bitu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:bitu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id), <sup>3</sup>[21102293@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:21102293@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id),  
<sup>4</sup>[21102295@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:21102295@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id), <sup>5</sup>[21102301@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:21102301@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id), <sup>6</sup>[21102010@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:21102010@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id),  
<sup>7</sup>[21102286@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:21102286@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id)

(Naskah masuk: 13 Oktober 2022, Revisi : 01 November 2022, diterbitkan: 10 Februari 2023)

### Abstract

13  
Banjarnegara has a category of high or very vulnerable landslide susceptibility, according to data from the National Disaster Management Agency from 2006 to 2021, there has always been at least 1 landslide disaster in Banjarnegara. As a step in disaster reduction in Banjarnegara, a landslide hazard analysis was carried out. The methodology used is scoring and weighting using 4 indicators of rainfall, geology, land use, and slope. Landslide susceptibility is measured by calculating the total score which is then categorized based on the total score. The landslide susceptibility category is divided into 4 categories, namely Very Low, Low, Medium, and High. Areas with high vulnerability category have a proportion of 0.06% with an area of 682,073 m<sup>2</sup>, Medium has a proportion of 55.51% with an area of 625,539,155 m<sup>2</sup>, and Low has a proportion of 44.43% with an area of 500,730,369 m<sup>2</sup>. The results of the landslide hazard map can then be used as a reference for the Regional Disaster Management Agency to reduce the risk of landslide vulnerability.

**Keywords:** Banjarnegara, Landslide, Map, Score and Weighting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Banjarnegara is a district located in Central Java, based on a physiographic zone consisting of the North Serayu Mountain Zone, the Central Depression Zone, and the Central Serayu Mountains Zone, with an altitude of 100-500 meters above sea level[1]. The physiographic location of Banjarnegara consists of several valleys and steep slopes[1]. According to the soil type, Banjarnegara consists of 6.25% latosol, 11.72% grumsol, 14.5% andosol, and 7.53% other soil types[1]. Physiographic conditions and soil types in Banjarnegara are contributing factors 7 the vulnerability to landslides. Among several factors that influence the occurrence of landslides, the slope factor is the factor that is considered to have the most impact[2], [3]. Andosol soil type is one type of soil that has a landslide risk[4]-[7].

Banjarnegara has a high vulnerability to landslides or is very vulnerable[8], [9]. According to BNPB data from 2006 to 2021, there has always been at least one landslide disaster in Banjarnegara[10]. The most landslides occurred in 2017 when 51 landslides were recorded[10]. Meanwhile, based on the most fatalities in 2014, where 100 people died due to landslides[10]. Based on statistics on the incidence of all-natural disasters in Banjarnegara, an average of 56.86% of natural disasters are landslides annually[10].

To reduce disaster risk, especially for landslides in Banjarnegara, mapping of landslide-prone areas is carried out, which can be used as a medium of information to the public[11], [12]. The landslide hazard map in Banjarnegara itself is currently available, but the map only has a 5-year lifespan which, after five years, must be updated, and the expiration date will end in 2023. The landslide hazard map itself needs updating to replace the current map. It exists and ends in 2023, so in 2022 a landslide susceptibility analysis is required, which can then produce a landslide susceptibility map.

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research has several paths, including 1) Data Collection, 2) Data Categorization, 3) Vector Data Conversion and Creation, 4) Overlay, Scoring, and Weighting, 5) Total Score Category, 6) Landslide Prone Map Making. For a clearer flow of research can then be seen in Figure 1.

Data collection is done by retrieving data from related agencies or can retrieve data from the internet to obtain the required image data. The data that was successfully retrieved were then grouped into three types of data, namely vector data, raster data, and other data. Vector data is data that has a .shp extension format where the data describes a certain polygon. Raster data can be in the form of images with the extension .jpg, .jpeg, .png, or .tiff, where the

data must be vectorized first before scoring and weighting. Other data is data in formats other than vector data and raster data, where the data can be in the form of documents or text, so vector data must be created first. After all the data is converted into vector data, then scoring and weighting are carried out.




Figure 1. Research Flow

To determine an area prone to landslides, several methods are used, and the methods used in this study are weighting and scoring[13]–[16]. This method is carried out by weighting four indicators of landslide susceptibility, including rainfall (mm/year), geology, land use, and the percentage of slope. Each indicator is then given a score based on the category of each indicator.

The first indicator is rainfall, where the rainfall in the period of the year is added up and then given a score based on the amount of water discharged for one year. Rainfall affects changes in groundwater so that it can cause landslides[17]. The value of the rainfall score in the score table is divided into three values which in detail can be seen in table 1.

Table 1. Rainfall Score[13]

Rainfall (mm/year)	Score
<2000	1
2000 – 3000	2
>3000	3

The second indicator is geology, where the geology is the rock structure that makes up an area. The geological structure of an area can improve the analysis of landslide susceptibility[18]. With the data related to the arrangement of rocks in an area, it can improve the analysis of landslide susceptibility. The

geological score value in the score table is divided into three values which in detail can be seen in table 2.

Table 2. Geological Score[13]

Geological	Score
Andesite, mudstone, siltstone	1
Limestone, Rock, sandstone	2
Young River aluminum, limestone, phyllite, quartzite, skis	3

The third indicator is land use, where land use is mapped based on certain categories, and then a score is determined from that category. Land use is one of the key factors that affect the vulnerability of landslides[19]. The land use score in the score table is divided into three values, which in detail, can be seen in table 3.

Table 3. Land Use Score[13]

Land Use	Score
Settlements, rice fields, forest	1
Garden, moor	2
Shrubs, bare/barren land, sand	3

The fourth indicator is the slope, where the slope data is measured using Digital Elevation Model data. The slope data used is in the form of a percentage. Terrain topology data is very useful in mapping landslide susceptibility[20], and one of them is the slope map of the land. The value of the slope in the score table is divided into four values which in detail can be seen in table 4.

Table 4. Slope Score[13]

Slope	Score
<8%	1
8 – 25%	2
25 – 40%	3
>40%	4

After scoring, the score will be multiplied by the weight of each indicator to calculate and get the category of landslide susceptibility. The weighting of the four indicators can then be seen in table 5.

Table 5. The weighting of Vulnerability Indicators[13]

Indicators	Weight
Rainfall	2
Geological	2
Land Use	3
Slope	3

After the data has been calculated, the scores and weights have been calculated, and all the data collected is overlaid so that the geometric and attribute data become one data. The overlay is the process of combining geometry and attributes on a layer. After knowing the score and weight of each indicator and the data has been overlaid, then the score of each indicator is multiplied by the weight, and then the total score of an area is obtained. For multiplication, it can be seen in equation (1).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Total Score} = & (\text{Rainfall Score} * 2) + \\
 & (\text{Geological Score} * 2) + (\text{Land Use Score} * \\
 & 2) + (\text{Slope Score} * 2) \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The category of landslide susceptibility is obtained from the results of calculating the Total Score, where the category for the Total Score can then be seen in table 6.

Table 6. Landslide Hazard Category[13]

Category	Total Score
High	> 30
Medium	21 – 30
Low	11 – 20
Very Low	<= 10

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Data and Scoring

The results of this study obtained some data, which is categorized into three types of data, namely vector data, raster data, and other data with document extensions. Sources of data that have been obtained include the Geological Agency of the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, Geospatial Information Agency, Banjarmasin Geophysics Station, NASADEM Merged DEM Global 1 Satellite Imagery, and Internet sources.

##### 3.1.1. Territorial Boundary

For the Banjarmasin Regional Boundary data itself, it is taken from the Geospatial Information Agency Data, where the data obtained is village boundaries with the extension of .shp data, but in the study, it was changed to district boundaries by doing a dissolving process. For data on the Banjarmasin Area Boundary, it can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Banjarmasin Boundary Map

##### 3.1.2. Rainfall

Rainfall data in Banjarmasin is obtained from the Banjarmasin Geophysical Station, where the data taken is rainfall data for the last four years (2018,

2019, 2020, and 2021). The data obtained is data in .pdf format, from which the data is then searched for the average period of rain discharge for the last four years. The amount of rainfall data for the last four years from 2018-2021, respectively, is 3,029.2 mm; 3,412.3 mm, 4,588.1 mm; and 4,148.8 mm, where the average is 3,793.6 mm. The average rainfall has a score of 3 because it is more than 3,000 mm, which is marked in red on the map. The rain map itself can be seen in Figure 3.



■ Rainfall with a Score of 3 (>3000 mm/year)

Figure 3. Rainfall Map

##### 3.1.3. Geology

Geological data was obtained from mapping conducted by the Geological Agency of the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources. The data obtained is raster data with the extension .jpg, so vectorization must be carried out by creating polygons above the raster data layer. Based on the data obtained, there are several geological groups, including the Gravel Sand Group, Volcanic Breccia Group, Metamorphic Rocks, Igneous Rocks, Limestone Groups, and Claystone Groups. The scoring is given in table 6.

Table 6. Geological Scoring

Geological	Score
Volcanic Breccia Group, Metamorphic Rock, Igneous Rock, Claystone Group, Limestone Group	2
Gravel Sand Group	3

After scoring, a geological map is obtained, which can be seen in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Geological Map

22  
**3.1.4. Land Use**

Land use data in Banjarnegara is obtained from third parties who have provided land user data in .shp format. Data on land use in Banjarnegara itself is divided into fields, rice fields, gardens, buildings, weeds, forests, and shrubs. The data is then subjected to a "Disolve" process to separate the data so that they do not overlap. The discarded data is data with a lower score. From this process, a map, as shown in Figure 5, is obtained.




Figure 5. Land Use Map

**3.1.5. Slope**

The slope data is obtained from the NASADEM Merged DEM Global 1 Satellite, with the output data being Digital Elevation Model or DEM data with the .tiff extension. Data processing and data clipping using google earth engine software to be separated into four categories of slope based on the percentage of slope. The results of the slope category are then exported back into .tiff form, which is then entered into the QGIS software to be exported to .shp format.

23 results of the slope data then obtained the results of the slope map, as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Slope Map

21  
**3.2. Landslide Hazard Analysis**

The landslide susceptibility analysis is carried out by calculating the score that has been made previously multiplied by the weight of each indicator. However, before doing the calculations, the "intersect" process is carried out on the map data that has been made to combine the vector data maps that have been made previously. After the intersect process is carried out, the total score is calculated, where the total score is used for the landslide susceptibility category, which is divided into four categories, namely very low, low, Medium, and high. Areas with the High vulnerability category have a percentage of 0.06% with an area of 682,073 m<sup>2</sup>, Medium has a percentage of 55.51% with an area of 625,539,155 m<sup>2</sup>, and Low has a percentage of 44.43% with an area of 500,730,369 m<sup>2</sup>. There are two sub-districts that have a high landslide susceptibility, namely Banjarmangu District and Batur District. The landslide susceptibility data can clearly be seen in table 7.

Table 8. Landslide Hazard Data

Category	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage
High	682,073	0.06%
Medium	625,539,155	55.51%
Low	500,730,369	44.53%
Very Low	<= 10	

The map of landslide-prone areas is symbolized into three colors, namely green for areas with low landslide susceptibility, yellow for areas with moderate landslide susceptibility, and red for areas with high landslide susceptibility. The landslide susceptibility map can then be seen in Figure 7.

### Banjarnegara landslide susceptibility map




Figure 7. Banjarnegara Landslide Susceptibility Map

#### 4. DISCUSSION

There are several studies related to landslide susceptibility, such as the research conducted. Several studies carried out mapping of landslide hazards in Banjarnegara, such as Susanti et al. (2017)[8] research which used the weighting method from Paimin et al. (2009)[20] research. In contrast to the analysis above, the study was conducted using the weighting method of Darmawan and Theml (2008)[13].

Other research that can be used as a comparison is the research of Valdika et al. (2019)[16] and Azeriansyah et al. (2017)[15], where this study also uses the Darmawan and Theml (2008)[13] weighting method. However, it is different from the two studies that examined Ngesrep Village, Banyumanik District, Semarang Regency, and Kendal Regency. The resulting hazard maps are geographically different, so they will produce different hazard maps.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The research results on landslide susceptibility in Banjarnegara found that landslide susceptibility levels were high, Medium, and low. The medium category of landslide hazard in Banjarnegara has the most significant percentage, with a percentage of 57.41% with an area of 646,989,925 m<sup>2</sup>. Meanwhile, the landslide susceptibility in the low category has a percentage of 42.53% with an area of 479,279,599 m<sup>2</sup>, and the high category has a percentage of 0.06% with an area of 682,073 m<sup>2</sup>. These results indicate that the majority of Banjarnegara areas have a moderate category of landslide susceptibility, so it requires various efforts to reduce the incidence and impact of landslides. Reducing the incidence and impact of landslides can be done by making various efforts such as planting trees to prevent soil movement, stopping excessive exploitation of nature,

a development that pays attention to aspects of vulnerability, education related to landslides, and various other efforts.

Methodologically, the use of the scoring and weighting method used in this study still needs to be strengthened by using other methods. This is done to strengthen the accuracy of landslide susceptibility in Banjarnegara. The existence of a more accurate map will have a positive impact, especially on disaster management agencies in the area, which in this case is BPBD. An accurate landslide hazard map will provide increased accuracy in decision-making in an effort to reduce the incidence and impact of landslides.

Based on the landslide susceptibility analysis carried out, there are two sub-districts that have high landslide susceptibility, namely Banjarmangu and Batur sub-districts. Based on these data, the Regional Disaster Management Agency must take action to handle landslides in the two sub-districts. These actions can be in the form of counseling on the prevention and handling of landslides in the community. In addition, the Regional Disaster Management Agency can also install landslide detection equipment as a means of early warning of landslides in areas with high vulnerability. This map can also be a reference for local governments to provide policies that can support landslide prevention.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research can be carried out well because of the cooperation of various parties. Therefore the authors would like to thank the Telkom Institute of Technology Purwokerto for academic support. And do not forget to thank the Geospatial Information Agency, Banjarnegara Geophysical Station, and the Geological Agency of the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources for providing data

**DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

- [1] P. Banjarengara, "Letak Geografis." Accessed: Feb. 04, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://banjarnegarakab.go.id/main/pemerintah/letak-geografis/>
- [2] Q.-K. Nguyen, D. Tien Bui, N.-D. Hoang, P. T. Trinh, V.-H. Nguyen, and I. Yilmaz, "A novel hybrid approach based on instance based learning classifier and rotation forest ensemble for spatial prediction of rainfall-induced shallow landslides using GIS," *Sustainability*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 813, 2017.
- [3] S. Lee, "Current and future status of GIS-based landslide susceptibility mapping: a literature review," *Korean J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 179–193, 2019.
- [4] I. N. Sunarta, K. D. Susila, and I. N. Kariasa, "Landslide Hazard Analysis and Damage Assessment for Tourism Destination at Candikuning Village, Tabanan Regency, Bali, Indonesia," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2018, vol. 123, no. 9, p. 12006.
- [5] W. M. Ngecu and D. W. Ichang'i, "The environmental impact of landslides on the population living on the eastern footslopes of the Aberdare ranges in Kenya: a case study of Maringa Village landslide," *Environ. Geol.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 259–264, 1999.
- [6] S. Fathaya, E. Kusratmoko, and R. Saraswati, "Characteristics of Landslide and Rainfall Areas in Jember Regency, West Java Province," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2021, vol. 884, no. 24, p. 12054.
- [7] P. F. P. UNISRI, "Hubungan Klasifikasi Longsor, Klasifikasi Tanah Rawan Longsor Dan Klasifikasi Tanah Pertanian Rawan Longsor," *Gema*, vol. 27, no. 49, p. 61412, 15.
- [8] P. D. Susanti, A. Miardini, and B. Harjadi, "Analisis kerentanan tanah longsor sebagai dasar mitigasi di kabupaten banjarnegara (vulnerability analysis as a basic for landslide mitigation in banjarnegara regency)," *J. Penelit. Pengelolaan Drh. Aliran Sungai (Journal Watershed Manag. Res.)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 49–59, 2017.
- [9] M. D. Cahyani, "Vulnerability And Direction Of Landslide Disaster Mitigation In Pagedong Sub-District, Banjarnegara District," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2019, vol. 271, no. 1, p. 12033.
- [10] BNPB, "Data Informasi Bencana Indonesia." Accessed: Feb. 04, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://dibi.bnpb.go.id/>
- [11] A. Z. Rahman, "Kapasitas Daerah Banjarnegara dalam Penanggulangan Bencana Alam Tanah Longsor," *J. Ilmu Sos.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [12] A. Z. Rahman, "Kajian mitigasi bencana tanah longsor di Kabupaten Banjarnegara," *J. Manaj. dan Kebijak. publik*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2015.
- [13] M. Darmawan and S. Theml, *Katalog Methodologi Penyusunan Peta Geo Hazard Dengan GIS*. BRR-NAD, 2008.
- [14] D. G. Bayuaji, A. L. Nugraha, and A. Sukmono, "Analisis penentuan zonasi risiko bencana tanah longsor berbasis sistem informasi geografis (Studi kasus: Kabupaten Banjarnegara)," *J. Geod. Undip*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 326–335, 2016.
- [15] R. Azeriansyah, Y. Prasetyo, and B. D. Yuwono, "Analisis Identifikasi Dampak Bencana Tanah Longsor dengan Menggunakan Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)(Studi Kasus: Kelurahan Ngesrep, Kecamatan Banyumanik)," *J. Geod. Undip*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 474–484, 2017.
- [16] R. R. Valdika, A. L. Nugraha, and H. S. Firdaus, "Analisis Ancaman Multi Bencana Di Kabupaten Kendal Berbasis Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process," *J. Geod. Undip*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 133–140, 2019.
- [17] A. Troncone, L. Pugliese, G. Lamanna, and E. Conte, "Prediction of rainfall-induced landslide movements in the presence of stabilizing piles," *Eng. Geol.*, vol. 288, p. 106143, 2021.
- [18] F. Williams, S. McColl, I. Fuller, C. Massey, H. Smith, and A. Neverman, "Intersection of fluvial incision and weak geologic structures cause divergence from a universal threshold slope model of landslide occurrence," *Geomorphology*, vol. 389, p. 107795, 2021.
- [19] H. Zhang, G. Zhang, and Q. Jia, "Integration of analytical hierarchy process and landslide susceptibility index based landslide susceptibility assessment of the Pearl river delta area, China," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 4239–4251, 2019.
- [20] S. Paimin and I. B. Pramono, "Teknik mitigasi banjir dan tanah longsor," *Balikipapan Tropenbos Int. Indones. Program.*, 2009..

# LANDSLIDE HAZARD MAPPING USING THE SCORE AND WEIGHT METHOD IN BANJARNEGARA

---

ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

- |   |   |                 |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | <a href="https://media.neliti.com">media.neliti.com</a><br>Internet   | 83 words — 2%   |
| 2 | <a href="https://www.researchgate.net">www.researchgate.net</a><br>Internet   | 28 words — 1%   |
| 3 | <a href="https://eudl.eu">eudl.eu</a><br>Internet   | 26 words — 1%   |
| 4 | <a href="https://int-jecse.net">int-jecse.net</a><br>Internet   | 23 words — 1%   |
| 5 | <a href="https://repo-dosen.ulm.ac.id">repo-dosen.ulm.ac.id</a><br>Internet   | 17 words — < 1% |
| 6 | A L Alam, S Hespiantoro, D Dyar, D Balzer, D Kuhn, J Torizin, M Fuchs, S Kastl, J Anhorn.<br>"Science-practice nexus for landslide surveying: technical training for local government units in Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2017<br>Crossref | 16 words — < 1% |
| 7 | R S Permana, A P Buana, A Akmam, H Amir, A Putra. "Using the Schlumberger configuration resistivity geoelectric method to estimate the rock structure at landslide zone in Malalak agam", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020   | 16 words — < 1% |



- 
- 8 V Meena, S Kumari, V Shankar. "Physically based modelling techniques for landslide susceptibility analysis: A comparison", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022  
Crossref 16 words — < 1%
- 
- 9 Mercy W. Mwaniki, Moeller S. Matthias, Gerhard Schellmann. "Application of Remote Sensing Technologies to Map the Structural Geology of Central Region of Kenya", IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 2015  
Crossref 15 words — < 1%
- 
- 10 [download.atlantispress.com](https://download.atlantispress.com)  
Internet 14 words — < 1%
- 
- 11 [eltikom.poliban.ac.id](http://eltikom.poliban.ac.id)  
Internet 13 words — < 1%
- 
- 12 [www.atlantispress.com](http://www.atlantispress.com)  
Internet 13 words — < 1%
- 
- 13 I G Sudarman, A Ahmad. "Mapping of landslide-prone areas in the Lisu river basin Barru Regency based on binary logistic regression", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021  
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
- 
- 14 I N Sunarta, K D Susila, I N Kariasa. "Landslide Hazard Analysis and Damage Assessment for Tourism Destination at Candikuning Village, Tabanan Regency, Bali, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018  
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
-

15	Internet	10 words — < 1%
16	Tyas Pratamaningtyas Anggraini, Nur Hafida Hidayati, Intan Muning Harjanti. "Spatial Mapping Based on the Settlement Carrying Capacity Value in Gunungpati District, Semarang City", BHUMI: Jurnal Agraria dan Pertanahan, 2023 Crossref	9 words — < 1%
17	<a href="http://academicworks.cuny.edu">academicworks.cuny.edu</a> Internet	9 words — < 1%
18	Kevin Hendra William, Kristoko Dwi Hutomo. "The Natural Disaster Prone Index Map Model in Indonesia Using the Thiessen Polygon Method", INTENSIF: Jurnal Ilmiah Penelitian dan Penerapan Teknologi Sistem Informasi, 2021 Crossref	8 words — < 1%
19	Submitted to Telkom University Your Indexed Documents	8 words — < 1%
20	Uca, Mustari Lamada, Amal Arfan, Nurul Afdal Haris. "Flood Modelling Using Integration of Multi-data Analysis and HEC-RAS Model in Mata Allo River, Sulawesi", E3S Web of Conferences, 2023 Crossref	8 words — < 1%
21	<a href="http://journal.unpak.ac.id">journal.unpak.ac.id</a> Internet	8 words — < 1%
22	<a href="http://www.colliercountyfl.gov">www.colliercountyfl.gov</a> Internet	8 words — < 1%
23	<a href="http://www.frontiersin.org">www.frontiersin.org</a> Internet	8 words — < 1%

---

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON  
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF  
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF