Institut Teknologi
Telkom
Purwokerto

Mega Pranata <mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>

[COMNETSAT 2022] #1570822994 has been uploaded
1 pesan

Wahyu Pamungkas (wahyu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id) <wahyu=ittelkom-pwt.ac.id@edas.info>   2 Agustus 2022 pukul 01.16
Balas Ke: Wahyu Pamungkas <wahyu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>
Kepada: Mega Pranata <mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>, Aditya Wijayanto <aditya.wijayanto@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>, Muhammad Fajar Sidiq
<fajar@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>

Dear Mr. Mega Pranata:

Thank you for uploading your paper 1570822994 (*Performance Comparison of Web Server Application on Single Board Computer*) to **2022 IEEE International Conference on Communication, Networks and Satellite (COMNETSAT)**. The paper is of type application/pdf and has a length of 147514 bytes.

You can modify your paper at 1570822994 and see all your submissions at https://comnetsat2022.edas.info/index.php?c=29442 using the EDAS identifier mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id

Regards,
Chair, COMNETSAT 2022
http://www.comnetsat.org

Institut Teknologi Telkom Purwokerto

Mega Pranata <mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>

[COMNETSAT 2022] Your papers #1570822994 ('Performance Comparison of Web Server Application on Single Board Computer') is accepted in COMNETSAT 2022

1 pesan

Wahyu Pamungkas (wahyu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id) <wahyu=ittelkom-pwt.ac.id@edas.info>   19 Agustus 2022 pukul 09.23
Balas Ke: Wahyu Pamungkas <wahyu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>
Kepada: Mega Pranata <mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>, Aditya Wijayanto <aditya.wijayanto@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>, Muhammad Fajar Sidiq <fajar@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id>

Dear Mr. Mega Pranata:

Congratulation! Your papers #1570822994 ('Performance Comparison of Web Server Application on Single Board Computer') has been accepted to present at COMNETSAT 2022. Please make the necessary revision based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. The detailed reviews are at the bottom of this email or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570822994, using your EDAS login name mega@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id as described in the bottom of this email.

Please also consider 5 mandatory steps for COMNETSAT 2022 for author:

1. Registration and payment
At least one author has to register for the conference. Payment and registration details can be found at https://edas.info/r29442. Please note that the due date for payment and registration is 13 Okt 2022.

2. PDF eXpress (IEEE Xplore compatible)
Your revised manuscript must be compatible with IEEE Xplore. Please check with PDF eXpress at http://ieee-pdf-express.org/

Creating your PDF eXpress Account
Log in to the IEEE PDF eXpress TM site
First-time users should do the following:

Select the New Users at https://ieee-pdf-express.org/
Enter the following:
56033X for the Conference ID
Continue to enter information as prompted.
An Online confirmation will be displayed and an email confirmation will be sent
verifying your account setup.

3. Final "camera-ready" manuscript
The final "camera-ready" manuscript of your papers should be submitted in the same manner as the review manuscript using EDAS at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570822994 before 13 Okt 2022.

4. Electronic copyright form
The copyright form should be submitted electronically through EDAS before 13 Okt 2022.

5. Also please provide your response to reviewer comment by filling the revision form Comnetsat from the link https://ittp.link/reviewformComnetSat and sent to email comnetsat@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id with email subject and the name of the file following this format: Revision-Form-Comnetsat-#paper id.xlsx. Also you have to sent your final manuscript in MS-Word format (doc/docx) along with the email. Please send your revision form no late than 13 Okt 2022.

Should you have any questions, please kindly check at https://edas.info/help.php?c=29442 and do not hesitate to contact me by email. We look forward to seeing you at the Conference.

Sincerely,

TPC Chair
Dr. Wahyu Pamungkas,S.T.,M.T
wahyu@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id

Detail Reviews:

======= Review 1 =======

> *** The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.
Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

> *** Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)
Research method is easily identified and is appropriate to address the problem (5)

> *** Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.
Future impact is not defined; the likely impact is questionable (2)

> *** The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview
Problem is easily identified in the introductory section, and clearly related to literature review (5)

> *** Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question.
- The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)
Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion (3)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

It is well-written and easy understood paper. However, the work is very straight-forward, and has little future impact.

======= Review 2 =======

> *** The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.
Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

> *** Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)
Research method is not easily identified, but only partially appropriate to address the problem (4)

> *** Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.
Future impact is defined but not very clear; the likely impact is relevant to some research/society (4)

> *** The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview
Problem can be identified, and related to literature review (4)

> *** Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question.
- The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)
Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion (3)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

1. In the abstract section, author should write the result, conclusion and implication
2. In the introduction section, author should write the state of the art related to the proposed method
3. In the method part, author should write the detail regarding how to test the performance
4. I suggest you to write discussion section that will explain the interpretation, comparison to others, limitation, and implication
5. The reference is less, kindly add the reference for at least 25

======= Review 3 =======

> *** The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.
Significant original work and novel results. (4)

> *** Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)
Research method is not easily identified, but only partially appropriate to address the problem (4)

> *** Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.
Future impact is defined but not very clear; the likely impact is relevant to some research/society (4)

> *** The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview
Problem can be identified, and related to literature review (4)

> *** Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question.
- The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)
Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion (3)

> *** Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

In part 3, the author only displays the test results. The author does not explain the meaning of the findings in the test results shown in table I-VIII.
The author needs to explain the implications of the research results related to the background of the problem.