



2021

The International Conference on Computer, Control, Informatics and its Applications (IC3INA 2021)
- Learning Experience: Raising and Leveraging the Digital Technologies During the COVID-19 Pandemic -

Paper Number : 1570759315
Attachment : Paper quality and reviewer's comments.
Subject : Letter of Acceptance (LoA)

Bandung, September 23, 2021

Dear Mr./Ms./Mrs./Dr Tenia Wahyuningrum,

Congratulation.

The Organizing Committee of the IC3INA 2021 is pleased to inform you that your paper:

ID : 1570759315
Title : Evaluating Excellence Webometric's Criteria Weight
using Consistent Fuzzy Preference Relation Method
Author(s) : Tenia Wahyuningrum

Has been **accepted** for proceeding publication and conference presentation in 8th International Conference on Computer, Control, Informatics and its Applications (IC3INA) 2021.

We are looking forward to seeing you at the conference between October 5 - 7, 2021. For further information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Warm regards,



Risnandar, Ph.D
The conference chairs

Please, follow the reviewer's comments to revise your paper. Then submit your revised version in your EDAS ID by following the ACM template Sigconf by September 30, 2021.

Paper Quality

Novelty/Originality	3,2
Argumentation and discussion	3,4
Clarity	3,8
Quality of the Submission	3,6

Reviewer's Comments

Reviewer #	Comments
Reviewer 1	<p>The authors have to significantly improve the technical writing and graphical presentation of the paper. It would also be very desirable if a better and clearer graphical presentation can be used in the revised version.</p>
Reviewer 2	<p>This study reviews the factors that determine the strategy of universities to improve webometric rankings using the CFPR method. At first, the idea was quite interesting when discussing the weaknesses of the ranking method based on webometrics. We think the author will propose a new method that will improve the existing method. The author only applies one type of fuzzy system, CFPR, to determine the appropriate strategy for universities to improve their rankings. Moreover, as we expected, the result will not be far from the number of active authors, the number of publications, the number of citations, and the like. This research does not raise new issues and new methods, so it does not show its contribution to computer science. The chair may consider accepting this paper if there are improvements, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Instead of discussing the weaknesses of the webometric method in the introduction, the author should discuss research related to the strategy of higher education in increasing its ranking.2. The author must explain the expertise of the experts involved in assessing the importance of the webometric criteria.
Reviewer 3	<p>The background of the problem, objectives, methods, results and conclusions can be shown clearly. But in English writing is needs to be improved, especially in abstract, recommended to do proofread it first. Please check again the punctuation mark (.,), there is a punctuation error in the introduction of the first paragraph, in the sentence " Webometrics from Spain. , Eduroute....."</p>

Reviewer #	Comments
	<p>The second paragraph of the introduction "Research on solving weighting and ranking problems using MCDM has been carried out by [6]" delete the sentence "by", just until "carried out [6]".</p> <p>"The application of the AHP method in assessing web presence conducted by [9]" Delete the sentence "conducted by", just until the "web presence [9]"</p>
Reviewer 4	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Experimental results and their analysis are not sufficient. Additional results with rigorous analysis are necessary. Comparison with similar results from references is also important 2. Add a discussion on the results of the experiment, for example by comparison with the experimental results of other experiments using other methods
Reviewer 5	<p>The problems presented are very clear, accompanied by a fairly clear gap analysis. This paper provides scientific and technical contributions based on adequate literature review. I propose that the paper be accepted for presentation.</p>
Reviewer 6	-